APPENDIX D:
FEASIBLE CORRIDORS AND STAKEHOLDER'S MEETING \#3 CRITICAL LOCATION ANALYSIS

une 12, 2018

## Devola Multi-Use Trail Response to Public Concer

The Public Involvement meeting for this project was held on April 10,2018 at the Devola Volunteer Fire company, and the public was invited to provide comments until May 10,2018 . During this time respondents provided 24 comments regarding a variety of topics.
their concerns and to choose their preferred routes.
Trail safety was one of the main concerns for respondents, with several comments addressing concerns with he safety of a trail along SR-60, SR-821, River Road and other highly travelled roads. The crossing of SR-821 was also commented on, and one comment requested markings to identify location of the trail to assist with emergency responses. User safety is a top priority of this Study and these concerns will be investigated as the sudy progresses. There will, however, be constraints that will limit what facilities we can use for this project, and these constraints will be investigated as the project develops.

Several respondents mentioned historic landslides that have occurred on the hill east of SR-60. Geotechnical information is a critical component of this project, and we will perform geotechnical studies as part of our engineering investigation of potential alternatives. Through this effort we will reduce the likelihood of future geotechnical issues.
few respondents asked who has right-of-way when a path crosses a driveway, and who will be responsible for maintaining these crossings. When a path crosses a driveway pedestrians and cyclists on the trail will have right-of-way in the same way a vehicle traveling on the road has right-of-way. Traffic entering from a driveway
ne respondent recommended placing the path on the west side of Muskingum River. This route does not meet the purpose and need of the project, namely to provide bicycle and pedestrian connectivity through the communities of Devola and Marietta. Additionally, rehabilitating the existing railroad bridge and constructing
nts addressed concerns with constructing a path along the from the vicinity of Rathbone Road to Davis Avenue. These concerns have been noted and will be considered as the Study moves forward.
Respondents indicated preferred paths and one general route was preferred by most of the respondents. This oute has been identified on the Multi-Use Trail exhibit, and is described below:

Beginning at the Muskingum River Trail Head the trail would go north through Indian Acres Park and behind the Marietta Aquatic Center.
The trail would then turn east, cross SR-60 and follow Rath

- The trail would cross Davis Avenue and go behind WASCO.
her go north along SR-60 or behind the homes on the east side of SR-60 to SR-821.

If the trail is located on SR-60 north of WASCO, it would either continue along SR-60
until it reaches River Road, past Devola Recreation Park to Devols Dam, or would go through Devola via Maple Shade Drive and Devols Dam Road to Devols Dam.
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- If the trail is located behind the homes on the east side of SR-60 it will cross SR-821 and tie into the existing Devola Multi-Use Trail. The trail would then either go west along Millgate Road and tie into S --60, or go up the hili on the east side prior to tying into Seneca Drive and crossing SR-60 into Devola, ultimately reaching Devols
Dam.

Many respondents highlighted various destinations along their preferred route as reasoning for the choice. Devols Dam and Marietta Aquatic Center were desirable destinations. Respondents also discussed the soccer fields at the Devola Recreation Park, however, there are safety concerns with motorists along River Road, and respondents do not want the soccer fields to be impacted by the triil

Fnally, many people inquired about the public meeting handouts and maps. These handouts have be uploaded to Muskingum Township's website: http://www.muskingumtwp.org/devola-multi-use-path/
Respectfully,

Paul Denny, Woolpert
$\frac{1 \Delta!}{M}$
WOOLPERT
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## Meeting Minutes

| Date of Meeting: | June 12, 2018 | Re: | Devola Multi-Use Trail <br> Stakeholder Meeting |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location: | Muskingum Township Hall | Issue Date: | June 15, 2018 |
| Submitted By: | Paul Denny | Conference Call: |  |
| In Attendance: | See sign in sheet |  |  |

## ITEMS DISCUSSED:

Ron Mattox led the meeting and noted that the goal of this meeting was to inform stakeholders of the comments received through the Public Involvement process and gather feedback. Woolpert received 24 comments following the public involvement meeting, and these comments were used to update the corridor alternatives. The updated corridor exhibit was presented, and Ron noted that the corridors located on the east side of the map were removed based on a
lack of public support.

Indian Acres Park:
Ron Mattox noted the concerns associated with Segment 2-8, which crosses Indian Acres Park, the Marietta Shrine Club, and the Magnusson Hotel property. The Shrine Club is concerned about the location of the trail regarding their gazebo, and the owners of the hotel property do not support the trail. Joe Tucker recommended looking at an alternative corridor through this area that would allow the trail to travel through Indian Acres Park and next to the Aquatic Center prior to tying into SR-60 at Rathbone Road.

## River Road Soccer Fields:

Public feedback has been received regarding impacts to the soccer fields located on River Road in Devola. The soccer fields have been graded to drain quickly and the soccer associations do not want the trail to negatively impact the fields. Additionally, cyclists and pedestrians have noted safety issues associated with overly assertive parents drive to and from soccer games and practices. Through this discussion it was determined that the trail should be used as an opportunity to enhance the park and improve access to it.

Stakeholders the pros and cons of locating the trail on River Road, Lawton Road, Chamberlain Road, and Masonic Park Drive. To summarize:

- During soccer season (spring / summer / fall) there are safety concerns associated with locating the trail along River Road. However, the road has a 30 -ft right of way and it might be possible to construct the trail along the right of way line. This would maximize the separation between the road and the trail. Additionally, the trail could be routed around the soccer fields east of the parking lot to further reduce impact.
fornificant dras a eastern fields. This would have to be addressed if the trail was routed around the field and could increase project costs. Additionally, Ken Schilling met with Roger Wright, whom noted the 30 -ft rig of way along River Road must be measured from the centerline. This reduces the amount of room
available for the trail. Due to the limited amount of Right of Way and the associated safety concern the River Road corridor will be removed from further consideration, and the trail will be routed into Devola.
- Lawton Road, Chamberlain Road, and Masonic Park all have $40-\mathrm{ft}$ to $50-\mathrm{ft}$ right of ways, and significant amounts of traffic. These roads are not comfortable for pedestrians to walk on due to the amount of traffic and the lack of pedestrian facilities.


## Millgate Road to Wyandotte Drive:

egment $19 B$ to 24 (Millgate Road to Wyandotte Drive) has been removed from further consideration due to property UB to opposition and the hillside that would be traversed. It is likely that the property owner would support segmen
俍 George Broughton noted that the green trail on the Broughton Nature and Wildlife Area could be modified for use by the trail if needed.

SR-60 Crossing into Devola:
Crossing SR-60 into Devola is difficult due to the amount of traffic and the speed of the roadway. Halstead Road,
Chippewa Drive and Wyandotte Road are feasible locations for a crossing and offer some sight distance. However, Chamberlain Road and River Road both have less sight distance along SR-60, thereby making the crossing more difficult. Action Items:

- Woolpert will schedule the next meeting near the first week of November 2018
- Woolpert will update the stakeholder letter and redistribute to the stakeholders.
- Muskingum Township will work with ODOT to extend the project from August until the end of 2018.

$$
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## CRITICAL LOCATION ANALYSIS








Devola Multi-Use Trail
Segment Analysis

| Corridor | Segment | Facility Type |  | Proposed Facility | Existing Facility | $\underset{\text { (per } \operatorname{lis} \text { ) }}{\text { Existing } \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{W} \text { Widh }}$ | Critical Points and Potential Issues | Aesthetic | Utility Impacts | Anticipated \# Parcels Impacted | Potential for Geotechnical Issues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bike Lane and | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shared Use } \\ & \text { Path (SUP) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | to 8 |  | $\times$ | ${ }^{10}$ sup | N/A | N/A | Existing path north of boat ramp parking lot Substantial property obstructions (Magnuson Hotel, Gas Station, several residences) pose a challenge to connect to Rathbone Rd Crossing Muskingum Dr (SR-60) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Scenic } \\ & \text { - Along river } \\ & \text { - Connection to park and } \\ & \text { recreational areas } \end{aligned}$ | Overmead Electric | 32 | Minimal |
|  | 8 to 9 | $\times$ |  | 28' Roadway Width (2-14' Shared Lanes) 5' Sidewalk |  | $40^{\prime}$ Rathbone | Somewhat steep slopes on both sides of Rathbone Rd in some locations City project is planned for Rathbone Rd Crossing Davis Ave | -Roaside <br> Residential | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overhead Electric } \\ \text { Water } \\ \text { Drainage Channel } \\ \text { Storm } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | Min |
|  | 9 to 12 | $\times$ |  | 24 'to 48' Roadway Width (2 to 4-12' Vehicular, $2-$ 5' Bike) 5' Sidewalk | 24' Roadway Width (2-12' Vehicular) 4' to 5' Sidewalk (Both sides) (Davis Ave) 36' to 48' Roadway Width (3 to 4-12' Vehicular) 4' to 5' Sidewalk (SR-60) | ?? SR-60 | Impact to businesses along SR-60 <br> High speed on SR-60 | Roadside <br> Commercia <br> High vehicular traffic usinection to local businesses | Overhead Electric Gas Water Storm | 17 | ? ? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ? |
|  | 12 to 17 | $\times$ | x | $46^{\prime}$ to 58' Roadway Width (3 to 4-12' Vehicular, $2-$ 5' Bike) 5' Sidewalk OR 44 to $56^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (3 to 4-12' Vehicular, 2-2 to $6^{\prime}$ Shoulder) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | $44^{\prime}$ to $56^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (3 to 4-12' Vehicular, 2-2' to $6^{\prime}$ Shoulder) 4 ' to $5^{\prime}$ Sidewalk | ? 5 SR.60 |  | - Roadside <br> - Commercial <br> - High vehicular traffic - Connection to local businesses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overhead Electric } \\ \text { Gas } \\ \text { Water } \\ \text { Starm } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minimal on East } \\ \text { Significant on West } \\ \text { (river side) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | ${ }^{17}$ to 18 |  | $\times$ | 26 ' Roadway Width (16' Vehicular, 4' and $6^{\prime}$ Shoulder) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | $26^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (16' Vehicular, $4^{\prime}$ and $6^{\prime}$ Shoulder) | ${ }^{2}{ }^{\text {Stip Ramp }}$ | Travels along high speed slip ramp High speed crossing @ SR-821 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roadside } \\ & \text { High vehicular traffic } \\ & \text { High vehicular speeds } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Overhead lectric | 3 | ? ${ }^{\text {? }}$ |
|  | ${ }^{18}$ to 19 |  | $\times$ | $10^{10}$ sup | N/A | N/A | - Croses Second creek. Large struture reaured. | Connects toexisting tril | ${ }_{\text {overeade leatric }}^{\text {Cas }}$ | 4 |  |
|  | 19 to 198 |  | $\times$ | sup | Portion 10'sup | N/A | - Comnect ond and utire exising omut | Exising trai. Remote. | None apparent | 2 | N/A (Exising) |
|  | 198 to 22 |  | $\times$ | $18^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-9' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP (Millgate Rd) | $18^{\text {R Roadway Width (2.9'V } \text { enicular) }}$ | ?? Millgat e d | Narrow two-way neighborhood roadways Many private property impacts | - Portions Remote and Residential | Overhead Electric <br> Gas <br> Water <br> Storm | 5 | Minimal |
|  | 22 to 23 |  | $\times$ |  | $24^{\prime}$ to $48^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2 to $3-12^{\prime}$ Vehicular, $2-2^{\prime}$ to $6^{\prime}$ Shouler) | ?? 5 R.60 | High speed on SR-60 Many private property impacts | - Roadside - High vehicular traffic | Overhead Electric <br> Gas <br> Water <br> Storm | 19 | Minimal |
|  | 23 to 25 |  | $\times$ |  | ${ }^{18}$ 'Roadway Width (2.9'V venicular) | $4^{0}$ ' Mapleshade or | Narrow two-way neighborhood roadways Potential for considerable earthwork Many private property impacts High speed crossing of SR-60 | Residential | $\begin{gathered} \text { overhead Electric } \\ \text { Gaster } \\ \text { Wherer } \\ \text { Storm } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | Minimal |
|  | 25 to 27 |  | $\times$ | $18^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-9' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | 18 'Roadwa Width (2.9'venicular) | $\begin{aligned} & 40^{\prime} \text { to } 50^{\prime} \text { Lawton Rd } \\ & \text { (40' East of Lindsey Ave } \\ & 50^{\prime} \text { West of Lindsey Ave) } \end{aligned}$ | Narrow two-way neighborhood roadway Many private property impacts 5 Residential Crossings | - Residential | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overhead Electric } \\ \text { Water } \\ \text { Storm } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{40}$ | Minimal |
|  | 27 to 26 |  | $\times$ | 10 'sup | N/A | N/A | - Need to avoid soceref fields and parking | Connection topark and recreational reas | Overeaded lectric | 15 | ? 3 ? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 26 to 278 |  | $\times$ | $20^{20}$ Roadway Width ( $2-20^{\circ}$ Vehicular) $10^{\circ}$ sup | $20^{\circ}$ Roadway Wisth (2-20 $0^{\circ} \mathrm{V}$ Vhicular) | $15^{\text {R River Rd }}$ | - High congestion along Rive Rd | Scenic Connection to park and recreational areas | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overhead Electric } \\ \text { Storm } \\ \text { Gas } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | Minimal on North Significant on South (river side) |
|  | 278 to 29 |  | $\times$ | 20' Roadway Width (2-10' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | $20^{\circ}$ Roadway Width (2-10 $0^{\circ}$ Vehicular) | ${ }^{15}$ River R ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - High congestion along Rive Rd | Scenic Along river Connection to park and ecreational areas | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overhead Electric } \\ & \text { Storm } \\ & \text { Gas } \end{aligned}$ | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Minimal on North } \\ \text { Significant on South } \end{array}$ |


| Devola Multi-Use Trail Segment Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corridor | Segment | Facility Type |  | Proposed Facility | Existing Facility | $\underset{\substack{\text { Existing } \\ \text { (per Gis) }}}{\text { w Width }}$ | Critical Points and Potential Issues | Aesthetics | Utility Impacts | Anticipated \# Parcels Impacted | Potential for Geotechnical Issues |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Bike Lane and } \\ \text { Sidewalk }\end{array}$ | Shared Use <br> Path (sup) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 to 3 | x | x | 10 ' SUP <br> (Fairgrounds Perimeter Rd) <br> 34' Roadway Width (2-14' Shared Lanes) <br> 5' Sidewalk <br> (Front St) <br> $30^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (12' Vehicular, 2-5' Bike, $8^{\prime}$ <br> Parking) <br> 2-5' Sidewalks (Marion St ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { N/A } \\ \text { 28' Roadway Width (2-12' Vehicular) } \\ \text { 5' Sidewalk } \\ \text { 30' Roadway Width (14' Vehciular, 2-8' Parking) } \\ \text { 2-5' Sidewalks } \end{gathered}$ | 66' Front St 70' Marion | Dennison \& Assoc. Nationwide Office in R/W (Corner of Front St/Marion St.) <br> Crossing Front St <br> Crossing 2nd St <br> Crossing 3rd St (SR-60) | - Roadside - Low vehicular traffic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overenead Electric } \\ \text { Whater } \\ \text { Eireter } \\ \text { Sylarants } \\ \text { Storm } \end{gathered}$ | 18 | Minimal |
|  | 3 to 5 | $\times$ |  | 46' Roadway Width (2-12' Vehicular, $12^{\prime}$ Median, 2-5' Bike) $5^{\prime}$ Sidewalk (lower Matthew St) 42' where parking present one side (2-12' Vehicular, 2-5' Bike, 8' Parking) 5' Sidewalk (upper Matthew St) 46' where parking present on both sides (2-11' Vehicular, 2-12' Shared Bike/Parking) 2-5' Sidewalks (Muskingum Lane) | 42' Roadway Width (2-15' Vehicular, 12' Median) <br> and 5' Sidewalk <br> $32^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-12' Vehicular, 8' Parking) and 5' Sidewalk <br> 38' (2-11' Vehicular, 2-8' Parking) and 2-5' Sidewalks | $\begin{gathered} 40^{\prime} \text { 3rd St } \\ 40^{\prime} \text { to } 55^{\prime} \text { Matthew St } \end{gathered}$ | Crossing Matthew St. <br> Crossing Muskingum Ln <br> On-street parking <br> Road grade > 5\% | Roadside <br> High vehicular traffic Connection to hospital | Overhead Electric Underground Telecom Water Fire Hydrants Storm | 8 | Some |
|  | 5 to 8 | $\times$ | x |  | 12' Roadway Width (Two-way Alley) <br> N/A $18^{\prime}$ to 22 Roadway Width (2-9' to $11^{\prime}$ Vehicular) 0 ' to 4' Sidewalk | 10' Muskingum Lane 40' Hamilton Ave | Muskingum Lane very constricted. Structures would be impacted. <br> Hamilton Ave and Eggser Rd are constricted. Considerable private property impacts. <br> (Retaining wall required) Crossing Hamilton Ave <br> Crossing Rathbone Rd | - Remote - Connection to hospital | Overnead Electric | 48 | Significant |
| 3 | 9 to 13 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $26^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-13' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP $10^{\prime}$ SUP | $\begin{gathered} \text { 26' Roadway Wdith (2-13' Vehicular) } \\ \text { 5' Sidewalk } \\ \text { N/A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 40' Davis Ave | - Davis Ave is route to Marietta High School. High traffic. <br> - inliside <br> - Potential for considerable earthwork or retaining walls <br> Crossing colegate Dr. on curve on hill <br> . | Remote | Overenead leartic Water | 3 | Sigificant |
|  | 13 to 12 | $\times$ |  | $24^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-12' Vehicular) 5' Sidewalk, $10^{\prime}$ SUP | $26^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-13' Vehicular) 5' Sidewalk | ${ }^{40}$ C Colegate or | High truck traffic Road grade >5\% Crossing Muskingum Dr (SR-60) | Roadside <br> Commercial <br> High vehicular traffic | Overhead Electric Gas Water Storm | 12 | Minimal |
| 4 | 13 to 18 | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $28^{8}$ Roadway Width ( $2 \cdot 144^{\text {V }}$ Vehiculur) N/A | $31^{\prime}$ oale st | Hillside near residences along SR-60. <br> Potential for considerable earthwork or retaining walls <br> High speed crossing | - Remote | Overhead Electric Gas <br> Storm | ${ }^{43}$ | Significant |
| 5 | 17 to 22 |  | $\times$ | $34^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-12' Vehicular, $4^{\prime}$ and $6^{\prime}$ houlder) 10' SUP | $34^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-12' Vehicular, $4^{\prime}$ and $6^{\prime}$ Shoulder) | ${ }^{\text {P2 } 5 \text { R. } 60}$ | High speed/high vehicular traffic, with passing vehicles Steep embankment and river immediately to west of SR-60 Crossing SR-82 <br> arge culvert under SR-60 | - Roadside <br> Connection to Marietta Bible College | Overenead leatric Gas | 19 | Minimal on East <br> Significant on West <br> (river side) |
| 6 | 23 to 238 |  | $\times$ | $46^{\prime}$ Roadway Width ( $3-12^{\prime}$ Vehicular, 4' and $6^{\prime}$ Shoulder) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | $46^{\prime}$ Roadway Width ( $3-12^{\prime}$ Vehicular, 4 ' and $6^{\prime}$ Shoulder) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | ? ${ }^{\text {SR.60 }}$ | - High speedhing veniculartraffic, with pasing and turing venicles | - Roadside <br> High vehicular traffic <br> - High vehicular speed | Overhead Electric Gas Water | 15 | Minimal |
|  | 238 to 24 |  | $\times$ | 46' Roadway Width (3-12' Vehicular, 4' and 6' <br> Shoulder) | 46' Roadway Width (3-12' Vehicular, 4' and 6' | ?? Sp.61 | - High speed/high veniculur traffic, with passing and turning venicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Roadside } \\ & \text { - High vehicular traffic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overhead Electric } \\ \text { Gas } \\ \text { Water } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Minimal |
|  | 24 to ${ }^{248}$ |  | $\times$ | $18^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-9' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | ${ }_{18} 8^{\text {R Roadway Witht (2.9'V venicular) }}$ | 50' Halstead Rd 40' Maple Shade Dr | Narrow two-way neighborhood roadway <br> Several private property impacts <br> Crossing Chamberlain <br> Crossing Chamberlain Dr | - Resisential | Overhead Electric Gas Water Storm | 6 | Minimal |
|  | 248 to 25 |  | $\times$ | $18^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-9' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | ${ }_{18} 8^{\text {R Roadway Width }}$ (2.9 venicular) | ${ }^{40}$ Maple Shade or | Narrow two-way neighborhood roadway Several private property impacts Crossing Chamberlain Dr | -Residential | Overnead Iestric Gater Water Stom | 8 | Minimal |
| 7 | 248 to 27A |  | $\times$ | $18^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-9' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP (Devols Dam Rd) <br> Devols Dam Rd) <br> 20' Roadway Width (2-10' Vehicular) 10' SUP (Chamberlain Dr) |  | 50' Chamberlain Dr 36' Devols Dam Rd | Narrow two-way neighborhood roadway Potential for many private property impacts High Traffic on Chamberlain Dr | - Roadside - High vehicular traffic | Overenead Electric <br> Gas <br> Water <br> storm | ${ }^{43}$ | Minimal |
| 8 | 27A to 278 |  | $\times$ | $18^{\prime}$ Roadway Width (2-9' Vehicular) $10^{\prime}$ SUP | ${ }_{18} 8^{\text {R Roadway Witht }}$ (2.9'V venicular) | ${ }^{36}$ Devols Dam Rd | Narrow two-way neighborhood roadway <br> Intersection with Devols Dam Rd | - Residential | Overhead Electric Gas Water Storm | 2 | ? ? ? ? |

